



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Box 1999 [199] Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.united.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/935,592	08/24/2001	Yoshihide Hayashizaki	2870-0173P	3624
2292 7.	590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2001	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/935,592	HAYASHIZAKI, YOSHIHIDE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey Fredman	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 21 January 2005. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 4 \( \triangle \) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) equest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a ☐ will not be entered or b ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-6.8-25.27-40.42-76,86,89 and 90. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 77-85. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1637

Part of Paper No. 20050201

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/935,592

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation would require further search and consideration and would require further consideration with regard to 112, second paragraph. In construing this new limitation, the issue will be in part whether it is definite what is meant by the phrase 'single stranded RNA sites within hybridized RNA/DNA portions' since every region that is single stranded is, by necessity, in some relation to RNA/DNA portions. This alone requires further consideration as to whether Carninci remains applicable. If Carninci is not found to remain applicable, then a new search would be required.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Claim 86 is also allowed, as noted by Applicant, since it depends from claim 1.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Applicant's arguments are directed towards the claim as amended and the amendment was not entered for the reasons given above.